

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
	Date of meeting: 9th August 2022			
1.	Attendees and apologies			
		Matthew Dean (Chair - Wiltshire Councillor) Suzanne Wickham (Wiltshire Councillor) Carole King (Wiltshire Councillor) Gordon King (Wiltshire Councillor) Michael Sutton (Westbury Town Council) Keith Rayward (Bratton Parish Council) John Pollard (Edington Parish Council) Sarah Harris (Westbury Town Council officer) Kirsty Rose (Wiltshire Council) Denise Nott (Wiltshire Council)		
	Apologies	Chris Johns (Edington Parish Council)		
2.	Notes of last meeting			
		The notes of the last meeting were agreed at the area board on 6 th June 2022		
3.	Financial Position			
		The available budget at the start of the meeting is £7612.78 The contribution level for Parish/Town Councils is set at 30%. Allocations made at meeting:		

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		Alfred Street, Westbury – 20mph Speed Limit TRO - £2500 (£1750 LHFIFG / £750 TC) Hawkeridge Traffic Signals – CCTV camera - £3000 (£2000 LHFIFG / £1000 PC) Remaining budget: £3862.78		
4.	Top 5 Priority Schemes			
a)	18-19-8, 18-20-26 Frogmore Road (From Rosefield Way to Slag Lane). 18-21-7 Slag Lane, Westbury Request for Traffic Calming	Westbury Town Council confirmed preferred option is 'high level intervention' and agreed a contribution of £1073.75 to the topo survey. KR has placed an order for the survey to be undertaken. The total cost of the survey is £4295 with CATG allocation of £3221.25 and £1073.75 from WTC. Likely cost of providing the full length footway is approx. £100k. There are items to resolve including land negotiations and drainage. KR suggested delivering in two phases. Phase 1 being the section from Rosefield Way to the rail bridge costing in the region of £50-60k. CATG agreed to submit a substantive bid relating to Phase 1 with a £4000 contribution. KR to provide plan and cost to Town Council before August 16 th to allow council to consider its contribution. KR to prepare submission form and liaise with CK. Substantive bid submitted.	Survey data received in July. KR to undertake analysis and provide to group. It was agreed that the substantive bid is to proceed as planned. WTC are to discuss contribution to bid at HP&D.	

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>CK asked if it is possible to remove this route as the alternative for vehicles which cannot travel under the Station Road bridge. KR will discuss with Bridges team.</p> <p>MS stated that Westbury Town Council have some concerns regarding the scheme and whether it addresses the concerns raised by residents. This is as a result of complaints from residents regarding the proposal.</p> <p>MS to report back following the town council HP&D meeting on 15th November as to whether town council support is withdrawn.</p> <p>KR explained that the scheme would not proceed without the support of the town council.</p> <p>The substantive bid for this scheme was unsuccessful by a narrow scoring margin.</p> <p>WTC have asked that the scheme be reviewed to ensure the option put forward is most appropriate.</p> <p>KR to get quote for an origin-destination survey to determine levels of through traffic on Slag Lane and Frogmore Road.</p> <p>KR explained surveys in 2015, 2017 and 2019 recorded average speeds of 24/25mph and 85th %ile around 29/30mph indicating that this location meets the criteria for a sign only 20mph speed limit and does not indicate a speeding issue with general traffic.</p> <p>A further traffic survey is to be undertaken.</p>		

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>Quote for O&D survey is £3500.</p> <p>Due to proposed changes to LHFIG and substantive bids, contributions to bids should be a minimum of one-third the annual allocation. Therefore, this scheme requires an additional £3000 allocation for substantive bid.</p> <p>It was agreed to allocate an additional £3000 to the substantive bid.</p> <p>It was agreed that the O&D survey should proceed, subject to a contribution from Westbury Town Council. Allocation £2450 LHFIG / £1050 WTC.</p>		
b)	<p>18-20-4 Parking Oldfield Park Westbury (nr 103) – Request for additional parking</p> <p>18-20-5 Oldfield Park, Westbury - Parking (nr 71)</p> <p>18-20-28 Westbury Infant School</p>	<p>On 23rd November 2020 a meeting was held remotely between Selwood Housing, Wiltshire Council and Westbury Town Council.</p> <p>One of the actions for WTC HP&D committee to consider, was an Experimental Traffic Order for the area. The experimental traffic order could be time limited to target the worse times of the day and may be more acceptable to residents. An experimental traffic order would allow an opportunity to gauge the success and impact of school parking. This would be supported with targeted enforcement.</p> <p>WTC HP&D committee voted in support of the Experimental Traffic Order –</p> <p>2. That the matter is of sufficient seriousness to be of immediate consideration by CATG.</p> <p>For CATG information, WTC HP&D committee asked that the Town Clerk writes to the schools in Oldfield Park about the issues with</p>	<p>TRO documents drafted for advertisement however Selwood have requested additional restrictions via WTC.</p> <p>KR suggested that given lack of available space for parked cars to move to, a H bar marking to keep the driveway clear (based on concern raised) would be most appropriate in first instance. GK agreed with this. MS agreed but was concerned that parking</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>parking and ask the schools to remind parents they can park in Railway Close car park.</p> <p>KR has also asked the WC Road Safety team to contact both schools and undertake a visit to review the existing arrangements around school drop off and pick up. The schools will also be encouraged to take up the walking, cycling and scooter training initiatives offered by WC.</p> <p>KR has reviewed available parking at Railway Close around school drop off times and there are limited available spaces. Group may need to consider alternative action.</p> <p>STP advisor will contact both schools to discuss Taking Action on School Journeys fund. School can access funding for improvements to encourage more walking and cycling.</p> <p>KR reported that STP advisor had contacted schools, but they have not taken up the offer.</p> <p>GK asked KR to update Cllr Dean regarding this issue. GK will raise travel plan with Junior school headteacher.</p> <p>Parking enforcement will take place. KR to also liaise with PCSO.</p> <p>KR also to review options for waiting restrictions on Queens Road and Oldfield Park</p> <p>The schools will not be preparing travel plans at this time.</p>	<p>opposite may continue to be a problem.</p> <p>It was agreed to proceed with H bar marking alongside waiting restrictions when implemented. No additional funding needed.</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>KR to prepare a proposal for an ETRO for waiting restrictions on Queens Rd etc for the group to consider.</p> <p>To be moved to top 5 priorities.</p> <p>Proposal for parking restrictions on Queens Road and Oldfield Park circulated with tracker for consideration.</p> <p>Estimated costs are £2000 for TRO advert and £2500 for implementation.</p> <p>KR has also contacted to Traffic Signals re: options for preventing vehicles mounting the footway near crossing. Awaiting response.</p> <p>The group supported the proposed parking restrictions and agreed to allocate funding for the TRO advertisement. Allocation -£1400 LHFIG / £600 WTC (tbc) – total £2000.</p>		
c)	18-20-18 Bratton Road Westbury – narrow section	<p>KR explained that the scheme had been installed without priority in order to encourage more co-operative, courteous approach between drivers. In most instances this appears to be working. Priority being given to those exiting the town centre toward Bratton would require parking on Bratton Road to be removed in order to allow space for queuing and passing vehicles. Priority being given to those travelling toward the town centre may lead to queuing back toward the Laverton with drivers approaching around a bend without forward visibility of a queue.</p>	<p>Based on the increase from LHFIG, WTC agreed to increase their contribution from £4,000 to £5,500</p> <p>Substantive bid to proceed.</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>The group discussed the issue and the town council felt that priority to those approaching from the town centre should be given further consideration.</p> <p>GK provided an update that residents are concerned about frequent road rage incidents and confrontation</p> <p>KR presented option for priority working with footway widening. It would be necessary to remove some on-street parking. Overall cost with footway widening would be £25,000. Signing and road markings only would be £9,000. KR recommends footway widening option to improve pedestrian environment and remove any doubt about road width.</p> <p>GK supported this approach, as does MS and other councillors. GK suggested substantive bid be made in 22/23 to fund this scheme.</p> <p>Substantive bid in 22/23. KR to progress design for bid purposes.</p> <p>Scheme to be moved to top 5.</p> <p>CATG contribution agreed - £4000. WTC contribution to be advised</p> <p>Due to proposed changes to LHFIFG and substantive bids, contributions to bids should be a minimum of one-third the annual allocation. Therefore, this scheme requires an additional £3000 allocation for substantive bid.</p> <p>LHFIFG agreed an additional £1500 toward the substantive bid. The town council are to consider their contribution and report back.</p>		

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>Following the meeting, KR sought clarification regarding the 1/3rd contribution to substantive bids and it was confirmed that this can be made up of funding from both the LHFIG and town and parish contributions. It does not need to be solely made up from the LHFIG funding.</p>		
d)	<p>18-21-10 Hawkeridge Road, Westbury Request for ANPR at Bus Gate</p>	<p>Westbury Town Council Highways Planning and Development (HP&D) Committee to refer to Community Action Transport Group (CATG) a proposal for an ANPR control at the existing 'bus only' gate. These works to be included in the substantive Slag Lane/Frogmore Rd scheme.</p> <p>Please see supporting documents with the full details.</p> <p>At a meeting held on Monday 18th October 2021, Westbury Town Council Highways, Planning and Development Committee supported the above issue/request being referred to the Community Area Transport Group (CATG) to investigate a proposal and advise on costs for an ANPR control at the existing 'bus only' gate. These works to be included in the substantive Slag Lane/Frogmore Road scheme.</p> <p>The group supported this request and agreed that further work to prepare a detail design and robust cost estimate should be undertaken.</p> <p>MS suggested that the Town Council may be willing to make a substantive contribution to cost, or cover in entirety.</p> <p>To be moved to top 5 priority.</p>	<p>WTC agreed to funding the work at a cost of £20,000 from CIL. This has been approved by WTC.</p> <p>It was discussed, and agreed, that no contribution is required from Heywood & Hawkeridge PC as this is within WTC area.</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>Outline plan circulated with note tracker. Cost estimate prepared and likely cost is £20,000.</p> <p>Discussions re electrical supply ongoing – this will inform further detail design work.</p> <p>Some changes to signing and the current layout are needed and included in estimate.</p> <p>The town council are seeking to fund this in entirety. A detail design and updated costing following confirmation of electrical supply details will be provided.</p>		
5.	Other Priority schemes			
a)	18-20-13 & 14 Newtown, Westbury – Traffic Calming request	<p>It was agreed to wait for the traffic survey results before determining the next course of action.</p> <p>Survey requested to take place at top and bottom of Newtown.</p> <p>KR to liaise with traffic surveys re: locations. Await outcome of traffic survey.</p> <p>KR to review options.</p> <p>Survey results attached with tracker. Survey to be repeated in Summer 2022.</p>	Carriageway roundels to be implemented as part of ad-hoc lining over summer.	To note

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>Speeds do not indicate a need for enforcement however KR to review options for managing vehicle movements. Carriageway roundel stating '30' could be provided alongside terminal signs at top of Newtown. This would cost £300 as part of the ad-hoc lining programme.</p> <p>The existing parking constrains vehicle speeds within the residential area.</p> <p>The surveys show the route is well used, particularly at weekends, however as the route to the White Horse this is to be expected.</p> <p>Consideration could be given to 'pedestrian in road' warning signs on the section towards Long River Road if there are concerns regarding pedestrian safety in this location.</p> <p>The group agreed funding for a carriageway roundel as above. Allocation £210 LHFIFG / £90 WTC – total £300.</p> <p>Letter to be sent by area board / councillors to PCC regarding enforcement.</p>		
b)	18-20-20 Newtown, Westbury – request for 'unsuitable for HGV' sign	<p>The traffic survey to be undertaken on Newtown has been asked to include vehicle types to identify the extent of this issue. The group agreed to await the outcome of the traffic survey.</p> <p>Await outcome of traffic survey.</p> <p>Survey results attached with tracker. Survey to be repeated in Summer 2022.</p>	On hold pending further survey	To note

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>Survey showed 9 return large vehicle movements per day on average. This is not excessive and accounts for required access.</p> <p>To be reviewed following further survey. On hold until Summer 2022</p>		
c)	18-21-3 Alfred Street, Westbury	<p>Request: I am a resident of BA133DY area for the past 3 years and have noticed more motorists speeding down our road. It's the straight stretch in front of the Horse and Groom pub. All the houses on this road, their gates open onto the road not a pavement and is a double yellow line area, so no cars are parked. We really need speed restrictions in place, speed bumps or islands. Something to make us safer leaving our property and the people leaving the pub.</p> <p><u>Westbury Town Council – Extraordinary Highways, Planning and Development Committee Meeting – Monday 22nd March 2021</u></p> <p>The committee voted –</p> <p>2. That the matter is of sufficient seriousness to be of immediate consideration by CATG.</p> <p>The committee ask CATG to consider traffic calming in this area, appropriate signage to discourage non-residential vehicles and HGVs</p> <p>Traffic survey requested. Await completion</p> <p>Traffic survey results circulated with note tracker.</p> <p>Consideration may be given to introduction of 20mph speed limit (sign only) to include streets leading off Alfred Street.</p>	<p>It was agreed to proceed with TRO advert. Funding was agreed at £2500 (£1750 LHFIFG / £750 WTC) subject to confirmation of contribution from WTC.</p> <p>KR to also prepare proposal plan and cost estimate for implementation to be discussed as next meeting.</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		The group agreed that a 20mph speed limit should be given consideration. A signing proposal and cost estimate is to be prepared.		
d)	Bratton – Lower Road/B3098/Stradbrook Crossroads	<p>Concerns regarding safety at crossroads. Request for improved signing. Documentation from Keith Rayward attached.</p> <p>CATG requested this be investigated to determine what improvements can be made.</p> <p>KR to provide plan and cost estimate to Parish Council and to CATG by next meeting.</p> <p>CATG agreed to proceed with proposed signing and road marking improvements (attached).</p> <p>Total £1050 - £735 CATG and £315 BPC confirmed.</p>	Works pack and order being prepared alongside 20mph speed limit implementation. To be completed by end October.	To note
e)	18-21-6 Leigh Road, Westbury Extension of Footway	<p>Request: I have to bring this to your attention. Matravers School leading into Leigh Road I really think the path should be extended past the railings as I seen about dozen school kids walking along there towards Springfield road and the traffic was busy and it's an accident waiting to happen. The footway should be extended.</p> <p>At a meeting held on Monday 20th September 2021, Westbury Town Council Highways, Planning and Development Committee considered the above issue and has the following response to make:</p> <p>The committee voted –</p>	<p>MD & KR to arrange meeting with Matravers Head to discuss travel plan and school traffic.</p> <p>Holding response to be provided to resident.</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>2. That the matter is of sufficient seriousness to be of immediate consideration by CATG.</p> <p>KR to review options including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion with Matravers re use of pedestrian gate and potential for fence to be moved back. • Relocation of utility post <p>Enhancement of dropped kerb crossings to encourage pedestrians to cross</p> <p>Relocation of utility post would require extensive diversions due to the number and location of connections relating to overhead cables. This is likely to cost in excess of £10,000, if deemed possible by the utility company as a standalone change.</p> <p>Due to the narrow footway width at the existing dropped kerbs, there is no scope to provide bollards to highlight the crossing point.</p> <p>Matravers to be contacted to encourage opening of pedestrian gate at this location to allow school children to access, takin away the need to walk further along Leigh Road.</p>		
f)	18-21-11 Coach Road Westbury	<p>Coach Road is access to Coach Road Farmhouse and the Farm only. (Except for Access). It is used as a rat run and drivers speed excessively down the single-track lane. Our cat has been killed and God forbid this happens to our children. We like this to stop. We also have children racing motorbikes down the lane.</p> <p>More signage and clearly indicating 'No Access'</p> <p>Speed bumps</p> <p>Barrier</p> <p>Anything to stop people driving through here!!</p>	<p>Signing review underway.</p> <p>The View have committed to reminding customers that access is from A350. CEM also issued information on social media.</p>	KR

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>Town Council At a meeting held on Monday 15th November 2021, Westbury Town Council Highways, Planning and Development Committee considered the above issue and has the following response to make:</p> <p>The committee voted –</p> <p>2. That the matter is of sufficient seriousness to be of immediate consideration by CATG.</p> <p>Westbury Town Council have asked the police to do some spot checks and enforcement in the area.</p> <p>Signing to be reviewed, including low bridge signing.</p> <p>Concerns raised about frequent fly tipping. KR to liase with enforcement team to establish extent of issue.</p>	<p>KR to check if Heywood PC have any concerns as this is within their area. KR also to flag flytipping concerns with enforcement team.</p>	
g)	18-21-12 Wellhead Drove, Westbury	<p>Dangerous junction – Wellhead Drove/A350 Speeding traffic – Wellhead Drove</p> <p>Provide more adequate warning signs for drivers on the A350 that there is danger of merging traffic ahead to slow them down more. Enforce a residential speed limit on Wellhead Drove of 20mph.</p> <p>Town Council At a meeting held on Monday 15th November 2021, Westbury Town Council Highways, Planning and Development Committee considered the above issue and has the following response to make:</p>	<p>WTC have confirmed financial contribution. TRO to be advertised. KR to advise of advert dates once known.</p> <p>KR & DN to discuss vegetation trimming and update next meeting.</p>	KR / DN

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>The committee voted –</p> <p>2. That the matter is of sufficient seriousness to be of immediate consideration by CATG. With a request that that the 30mph speed limit be moved further back and Wellhead Drove become a 20mph limit.</p> <p>Traffic survey to be requested for Wellhead Drove to determine the existing speed of vehicles.</p> <p>Wellhead Drove is currently subject to national speed limit. KR to determine if the 30mph speed limit can be extended to cover Wellhead Drove and review signing etc on approach to crossroads.</p> <p>Propose extension of 30mph speed limit to include Wellhead Drove, with required signing.</p> <p>This would require a TRO. Overall implementation costs (with signing) of £3000.</p> <p>Recommend significant vegetation trimming alongside A350 to improve visibility and light conditions through crossroads. Trimming should include high level branches overhanging carriageway.</p> <p>Much of this is within private ownership therefore contact by Area Highways required. It was agreed to ask for a letter to be sent out.</p> <p>The group agreed to fund the TRO advert and implementation costs. Allocation £3000 - £2100 LHFIG / £900 WTC (tbc)</p>		

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
h)	18-21-13 Clivey, Dilton Marsh	<p>The PC is requesting consideration be given to options for managing speed along the B3099 at the Clivey crossroads and up into the village. Vehicles speed along this stretch of road which is used frequently by horse riders, cyclists, and walkers. Residents have requested a lowering of the speed limit from 60 mph to 40 mph for safety reasons.</p> <p>The PC would like Wiltshire Council to investigate options to address the speeding issue</p> <p>SW to speak with parish council. May be appropriate to consider measures other than change in speed limit to assist vulnerable road users</p> <p>The group discussed the issues faced by walkers and horse riders along this route due to the lack of footway and vehicle speeds. KR to prepare a proposal and estimate for improvements to signing and/or other measures to mitigate this.</p>	<p>KR to progress ahead of next meeting.</p> <p>SW confirmed that danger to horse riders is the main concern currently.</p>	KR
i)	18-21-14 Charlton Hill, Edington	<p>Due to the increase in the number of delivery vans some residents of Charlton Hill have had damage to their property caused by vans attempting to reverse onto private property to turn round or just trying to reverse back down the hill.</p> <p>There is also an issue of vans having to reverse onto the Westbury Road which at times is congested with parked cars opposite the junction.</p> <p>Pat Whyte has visited the area and spoken to one of the residents and he suggests that an increase in signage would go some way to reducing the problem. A new set of signs at the entrance to Charlton Hill</p>	<p>To be taken forward by Denise Nott (Area Highways). DN updated the group that the current workload for her and the technician for Westbury is high, but this will be progressed when resources allow.</p>	DN

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>stating that there is no turning area at the end, also a sign stating that numbers 8 and 9 Charlton Hill can best be accessed from Tinhead Road.</p> <p>We have submitted a parking issue request for double yellow lines opposite this junction earlier this year, if this is successful this will also reduce the hazard for vehicles having to reverse onto the main road.</p> <p>Signing to be reviewed and proposal/estimate prepared</p> <p>Sign to be provided under street nameplate stating 'No Turning' And 'For nos 8 & 9, use Tinhead Rd'. Example provided with tracker.</p> <p>The estimated cost is £200.</p> <p>It was agreed to allocate funding £140 LHFIFG / £60 PC – total £200</p>		
j)	18-21-15 Alfred Street, Westbury	<p>I am highlighting the problems I am experiencing with the ongoing problem of lorries attempting to turn into Alfred Street from the Warminster Road.</p> <p>Last Monday 29/11/21 around 16.00pm I was upstairs in my house when I heard a very large bang. By the time I came down and opened my front door to find my wall had been hit and the bricks were in the road in Alfred Street, my gate had been completely damaged, and I had to climb over the wall to remove the bricks from the road. The driver had vanished!</p>	<p>Signing review underway.</p> <p>Provision of bollard being taken forward by Denise Nott.</p> <p>KR to provide update for resident.</p>	<p>KR</p> <p>To note</p>

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>This is the second time my wall has been damaged in the last six months. I am awaiting my insurance Company's assessment of the damage on Wednesday 8/12/21.</p> <p>I have experienced several more incidents of lorries attempting to turn into Alfred Street from outside my house when I go to speak to them they all say the same thing their sat navs tell them to turn into Alfred Street and they are all attempting to arrive on the A350!</p> <p>The lack of signage in Westbury is appalling, we have lorries not being able to find Morrisons or Arla, and they are juggernauts!!</p> <p>I am asking for help from the council, the HGV sign erected outside my house is ignored by all the lorries. I am constantly having to go and confront lorry drivers from trying to turn left into Alfred Street which is impossible, I am having two to three incidents every day.</p> <p>I need bollards to protect my property on the pavement. I think a simple solution would be to erect a sign saying A350 straight ahead next left after Alfred Street for HGVs.</p> <p>Town Council At a meeting held on Monday 20th December 2021, Westbury Town Council Highways, Planning and Development Committee considered the above issue and has the following response to make:</p> <p>The committee discussed and agreed to ask CATG to consider other options, including additional signage in the area, for example clearer signage to the A350.</p>		

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>Signing on A350 Warminster Road to be reviewed to ensure signing is visible and directing vehicles correctly.</p> <p>Proposal and estimate to be prepared, including bollard to protect wall.</p> <p>Propose a reboundable bollard be installed next to lighting column to protect wall. Estimated cost £300.</p> <p>It was agreed to fund bollard install ahead of signing review being complete. Allocation £210 LHFFIG / £90 WTC – total £300</p>		
k)	<p>18-19-11 Edington – various roads (excl. B3098)</p> <p>20mph request</p>	<p>Report circulated to CATG members in April setting out recommendations for the implementation of 20mph speed limit on various roads in the village at an estimated cost of £13,000.</p> <p>The group agreed to submit a bid for funding via the Substantive CATG bid process. CATG have allocated £1000 toward this. Edington Parish Council have confirmed £1000 contribution</p> <p>Substantive bid to be made in next round. Closing date this year is 17th September</p> <p>CATG allocation of £1000.EPC £1000</p> <p>Substantive bid for 22/23 funding was successful.</p> <p>KR to progress legal advert.</p> <p>Advertisement complete and no objections received. Works order for implementation being prepared.</p>	<p>Implementation has been delayed but completion expected Nov/Dec 22.</p>	<p>To note</p>

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		To be moved to other priority schemes until complete.		
l)	18-20-09 Dursley Road and Hawkeridge junctions with B3097	<p>The speed limit assessment has been ordered with Atkins but there will be a delay to the assessment being completed due to the current restrictions in place for Atkins employees.</p> <p>Atkins have completed site assessments and are awaiting additional data.</p> <p>KR to check with Traffic Signals regarding status of signal junction on list for replacement.</p> <p>Atkins to provide report early Feb.</p> <p>The junction is currently around position 58 in a condition survey of approx. 201 signal installations in the County.</p> <p>Atkins report circulated with note tracker. No changes recommended.</p> <p>The group expressed disappointment at the outcome of the speed limit assessment</p> <p>Residents remain concerned regarding the junction and near-miss incidents continue. A resident has prepared information on the matter which is included with this note tracker.</p> <p>KR explained that the signal equipment will be replaced when funding is available, which will allow more changes to be made, but there is no</p>	<p>Centre line and stop lines have been refreshed and vegetation cut back where needed.</p> <p>Intergreen times have been changed to ensure more time for side road traffic to exit.</p> <p><u>Options from Traffic Signals</u></p> <p>Atkins traffic signals have put together options, with costs, for improvements ranging from changes to pole positions to full refurbishment. This ranges from £6k to £60k+ but many of the options do not offer best value if the junction is to be refurbished in the future.</p>	To note

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>timescale for this. In the short term, KR will contact the signals team to ask if any further changes can be made now and to highlight the ongoing issues. The need for markings to be refreshed will also be raised with Area Highways.</p> <p>Item is to be moved to other priority schemes.</p>	<p>A lower cost option to consider in the interim would be to use the speed assessment loops to generate demands to bring the signals out of all red earlier – at present if the signals is resting on all red I believe it will only come out of that when a driver hits the X loop at 39m. At 40mph they cover this in about 2 seconds. What drivers SHOULD be doing is tapering their speed until they see green come up. What they may be doing, is assuming that green will come up, as perhaps it does normally, so not tapering their speed – but of course when its red due to an emerging vehicle - it doesn't. Basically, we would be catering for poor driving and impatience if we did this – not normal practice – but it is do-able and</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
			<p>could make it safer. Cost about £3k</p> <p>The CCTV camera option is favoured by LHFIFG and to be discussed by parish council. The group allocated £3,000 (£2000 LHFIFG, £1000 PC) subject to PC contribution confirmation.</p>	
m)	18-20-8 Bratton – 20mph Speed Limit Assessment	<p>BPC would like to pursue implementation of a 20mph speed limit on Lower Road and Court Lane. Estimated cost is £4500 with 50% contribution offered by BPC. CATG agreed to progress. Funding £4500 (£2250 CATG, £2250 BPC).</p> <p>TRO documentation to be passed to TRO team for legal advert Advertisement complete and no objections received. Works order for implementation being prepared. To be moved to other priority schemes until complete.</p>	<p>Implementation has been delayed but anticipate completion by end of Oct.</p> <p>Keith Rayward highlighted concerns from parishioners regarding collisions on Lower Road / Court Lane.</p>	To note
n)	18-22-1 B3098 Edington – Pedestrian Safety Improvements	<p>Excessive speed of traffic on some parts of the B3098 through the village which has very few pavements causing an increased danger to pedestrians and other road users</p> <p>The Chair of the Council has met with the Senior Highways engineer on site to discuss the issue. There are two main areas of concern, firstly the section of road from the 30mph sign at the Bratton end of the road</p>	<p>Traffic surveys received and provided with note tracker.</p> <p>KR to prepare proposal ahead of next meeting.</p>	KR

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>through to the junction with Greater Lane. Regular speed watch sessions undertaken in this location always record a number of vehicles in excess of 36mph travelling in both directions. This section of road is in a cutting with no where for pedestrians to walk except on the carriageway and this stretch is the only means of access between the village and Sandy Lane (the track onto Salisbury Plain). The second section is between the top of Monastery Road and the farm shop, again regular speed watch session always record vehicles in excess of 36mph.</p> <p>Traffic surveys are being processed (in place at time of meeting).</p> <p>KR to investigate options for improvements and report back.</p>		
o)	<p>18-22-2 Haynes Road/Station Rd/The Ham, Westbury</p> <p>18-22-3 Amazon Way/Station Road junction, Westbury</p>	<p>Due to recent and ongoing developments, traffic travelling along Station Road from the junction with Haynes Road to The Ham has now increased to a level where existing arrangements are no longer appropriate.</p> <p>Residents have contacted the council stating that the sighting issues at some of the new roundabouts are creating problems. The position of the existing zebra crossings and the means for pedestrians, especially school children to access the station safely need reviewing.</p> <p><u>Amazon Way</u> On approaching the roundabout from Amazon Way (Spinnaker Estate) and joining Station Rd visibility to the right is very limited due to the roundabout junction laying too far back and shrubbery, therefore unable to see clearly approaching traffic from under the railway Bridge, also vehicles from Station Rd cannot see traffic waiting on Amazon Way. Traffic from under the bridge approaches roundabout at such a</p>	<p>It was agreed that the requested review will be undertaken. KR to report back to LHFIG</p>	<p>KR</p>

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>speed that there have been numerous near misses. A fatal accident is waiting to happen.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shrubbery removed or moved back out of line of sight. • Junction markings on Amazon Way moved forward. • More signage to slow down traffic approaching from under bridge on Station Rd or even speed humps.20mph zone. • Sign at moment opposite Mantell Close inadequate and too close to roundabout. • This problem is worse at peak times ie 8-9am and 3.30-6pm <p>Westbury Town Council would like a comprehensive review of the traffic regulations and management of Station Road from the junction with Haynes Road to the junction with The Ham. This is to place particular emphasis on the junctions arising from new developments.</p>		
7.	New Requests / Issues			
a)	<p>18-22-5 Springfield Road, Westbury</p>	<p>Westbury Town Council have received further contact from residents on Springfield Road raising concerns about car parking in front of their homes. This was shared with the Police and they provided the response below. Over the years Westbury Town Council have received many concerns from residents about ongoing traffic and parking issues in the area.</p> <p>Westbury Town Council request that a one-way system be introduced.</p>	<p>KR to circulate previous Taking Action on School Journeys report.</p> <p>MD & KR to meet with head teacher.</p>	<p>KR</p> <p>KR & MD</p>

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
b)	18-22-6 6 Tinhead Road, Edington	<p>The bottom of the steps outside this property are constantly being hit by vehicles and the property owner has had to have repairs undertaken on more than one occasion. The problem arises due to the narrowness of the road and vehicles parking opposite the property.</p> <p>A kerb placed in front of the property protecting the steps and wall, this would also require some bollards being placed in the grass on the other side of the road to stop vehicles parking on the grass as the road width will be reduced.</p> <p>The property owner has been in contact with the PC who have had a conversation with the Area Highways Engineer Denise Nott, who suggested a plastic bollard but this was thought to be insufficient in stopping vans and the like hitting the property.</p> <p>Kerbing and bollards on the grass opposite would seem to be the best way forward. The only other alternative would be an extension to the double yellow lines soon to be painted in the Tinhead Road area.</p>	<p>KR to visit site and report back to LHFIG. Parking opposite property causes vehicles to be closer to steps, causing issue.</p> <p>Group asked that any solution be proportional to the issue.</p>	KR
c)	18-22-7 Road North of Ivy Mill Farm, Edington	<p>I believe I have become a somewhat familiar sign on the local roads 'walking' my assistance dog from my wheelchair. Additionally we have several horses and ponies herd that are ridden, including by four children, including a thirteen year old who cycles from the village twice daily and driven as well as the occasional regrettable but rather inevitable incident of escaped livestock or poultry.</p> <p>The nature of traffic on this road had changed considerably from when we moved here 12 years ago and where we had few worries apart from speeding drivers late at night previously, our fears were confirmed this</p>	<p>KR to undertake site visit and report back to group.</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		<p>week when on the same day I had a near miss with a car , our barn cat was killed by a car.</p> <p>As we are regrettably not close to any byways for my disabled adapted carriage that arrives in a month or two I will be almost exclusively carriage driving on the road as we do not have a vehicle large enough to box both ponies AND a carriage away from local roads. We also have children riding out.</p> <p>I wonder if there might be any merit adding a warning sign of riders or disabled 'pedestrian' to help warn the drivers who aren't local that they might be surprised by a slow wheel chair user and dog on roads with no pavements, or children on ponies?</p> <p>i understand the signage might be of limited use - my neighbours have told me despite the cows crossing warning sign they frequently take some 'blue language' from drivers who dislike or are surprised to have to wait for the dairy cows crossing.</p> <p>This is still a rural area which people expect to be able to skip through at s jaunty pace and I think that's fair, its still however a rural area where there are rural hazards like disabled rustics.</p> <p>My feeling is a sign might at least give drivers fair warning.</p> <p>I don't know how much such sogns codt and if for something with a high likely hood of being ignored it's worth asking for community resource to be considered.</p>		

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
		Mostly I am treated very much better in the wheelchair than ever I was on a horse- but of course - that doesn't lessen my concern for the children and adults riding out from my home, not myself on the times I do suffer near misses .		
8.	Other items			
a)	Additional LHFIG meetings	<p>GK requested that an additional LHFIG meeting be added as he does not feel that 4 meetings per year is sufficient.</p> <p>MD asked if that was allowed in accordance with LHFIG terms of reference. KR confirmed this is the case, but that the meetings are to tie in with AB meetings therefore additional meeting may not be beneficial. Officers workloads are also such that there may be no additional actions completed despite an additional meeting.</p>	MD does not support this request at this time, but will revisit at next meeting. Would like to work on reducing the number of items on the agenda ahead of the next meeting.	
9.	Date of Next Meeting: 7th October 2022 – 3pm Hybrid meeting – Teams and County Hall.			

Westbury LHFIG

Highways Officer – Kirsty Rose

1. Environmental & Community Implications

- 1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the LHFIG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

LOCAL HIGHWAY AND FOOTWAY GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Westbury Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with LHFIFG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, Westbury Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of **£3862.78**

3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

6. Safeguarding implications